

# Isotopic mapping and its application to understanding craton architecture and localization of mineral systems

2023-11-27

MERC Short Course, Saskatchewan Geological Open House

#### Kristine G. Nymoen<sup>1</sup> (Ph.D. Candidate) David Mole<sup>2</sup>, Phil Thurston<sup>1</sup>, Douglas Tinkham<sup>1</sup>, Richard A. Stern<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mineral Exploration Research Centre, Harquail School of Earth Sciences, Laurentian University, Sudbury, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Geoscience Australia, Canberra, Australia

<sup>3</sup>Canadian Centre for Isotopic Microanalysis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada









- Metal Earth: intro of the craton scale project
- Background
- Results from isotopic mapping in the SE Superior Craton
  - Part 1: crustal architecture and geodynamic setting
  - Part 2: crustal architecture and mineral systems
- Summary









- Metal Earth: intro of the craton scale project
- Background
- Results from isotopic mapping in the SE Superior Craton
  - Part 1: crustal architecture and geodynamic setting
  - Part 2: crustal architecture and mineral systems
- Summary









- Metal Earth: intro of the craton scale project
- Background
- Results from isotopic mapping in the SE Superior Craton
  - Part 1: crustal architecture and geodynamic setting
  - Part 2: crustal architecture and mineral systems
- Summary









- Metal Earth: intro of the craton scale project
- Background
- Results from isotopic mapping in the SE Superior Craton
  - Part 1: crustal architecture and geodynamic setting
  - Part 2: crustal architecture and mineral systems
- Summary









- Metal Earth: intro of the craton scale project
- Background
- Results from isotopic mapping in the SE Superior Craton
  - Part 1: crustal architecture and geodynamic setting
  - Part 2: crustal architecture and mineral systems
- Summary









- Metal Earth: intro of the craton scale project
- Background
- Results from isotopic mapping in the SE Superior Craton
  - Part 1: crustal architecture and geodynamic setting
  - Part 2: crustal architecture and mineral systems

• Summary









### Craton scale project

- Aims to perform multi-isotopic mapping of the Superior Craton
  - Collection of large U-Pb-Hf-O-TE dataset on both new and archived zircons to:
  - Constrain time-space
    evolution of the craton
  - Build an advanced knowledge of crustal architecture across the craton
  - Relate the crustal architecture to localisation of mineral systems



Modified after Montsion et al. (2018)









### Methodology

#### • Sample acquisition:

- Craton divided into quadrants
- Sub-samples collected from existing zircon material
- Field work in under-sampled areas
- Data Collection:
  - U-Pb-Hf-TE isotopic data collected in-situ from zircons at Laurentian University and Curtin University
  - Imaging and O-isotope data collected at University of Alberta w/ Richard Stern
- Processing and map data:
  - Reduce the data and produce contour maps and time-slices











### Background

#### Sm-Nd/Lu-Hf system:

- Radiogenic isotope system
- Sm-Nd system is on whole-rock
  powders
- Lu-Hf is on zircons
- Young, mantle-derived crust typically has εHf>0
- Old crust typically has εHf<0
- Two-stage model age (T<sub>DM</sub><sup>2</sup>) is the age a particular source separated from the mantle
- Crustal residence age is the time since the crust was extracted from the mantle/residence time of the source:
  - U-Pb age  $T_{DM}^2$



### Background

- The O-isotope system:
  - <sup>18</sup>0/<sup>16</sup>0 stable isotope system
  - Collected on zircon
  - Mantle values 5.9-4.7‰
  - "Heavier" values suggest a supracrustal component, i.e. seafloor sediments
  - "Lighter" values suggest a hightemperature hydrothermal component
  - Temperature-related information
  - Source information



### The potential of isotopic mapping in mineral exploration:

- Mineral provinces and their ore deposits are heterogeneously distributed within the Earth's crust, in both space and time
- In mineral exploration, the aim is to find these ore deposits amongst the poorly-endowed crust
- To be able to do that, it requires exploration techniques that progressively select areas and down-scale, from planetary-scale, through continent-, terrane- and belt-scales
- Lithospheric and crustal architecture has been shown to have a first-order control on localisation of major ore systems
- Related to this, isotopic systems (Nd, Hf, Sr and O) have been vital in uncovering the evolution of the continental crust through time- but rarely applied spatially
- Isotopic mapping applies the power of isotopic systems spatially, to provide a new method of imaging crustal architecture, and sort the mineral-endowed areas from the poorly mineral-endowed areas at the continent- to belt-scale









# The history of isotopic mapping:

#### • The first maps

- DePaulo and Farmer sampled granitoids in the northern California and northwestern Nevada in 1984
- Sm-Nd isotopes used to draw crustal boundaries



DePaulo and Farmer (1984)









# The history of isotopic mapping:

#### • The first maps

- DePaulo and Farmer sampled granitoids in the northern California and northwestern Nevada in 1984
- Sm-Nd isotopes used to draw crustal boundaries
- Dickin and McNutt did a similar study in 1989
- Sm-Nd isotopes from plutons were used to identify a suture zone

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

Dickin and McNutt (1989)

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_11.jpeg)

### Sm-Nd mapping: Yilgarn, Australia

- Isotopic mapping:
  - Yilgarn granites show similar age ranges and geochemistry across the craton
  - How can we effectively understand spatial variations in crustal evolution?
- Radiogenic isotopes:
  - The spatial application of the Sm-Nd unveiled the cryptic architecture of the Yilgarn Craton
  - Apparent controls on multiple mineral systems
- Result:
  - Crustal architecture has a firstorder control on the location of major mineral systems
  - ...and we have a way to image it

![](_page_14_Figure_10.jpeg)

### Metal Earth craton scale project: Part 1: Isotopic mapping of the southeastern Superior Craton, crustal architecture and geodynamic setting

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Superior Craton

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

### U-Pb ages: Spatial data

- Distribution of U-Pb ages:
  - NE and W edge show >2750 Ma ages
  - Central Abitibi dominated by younger ages
  - >2750 Ma xenocrysts have been found within the Abitibi

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

### SE Superior dataset: Hf isotopes

#### Three major Hf reservoirs:

- 1. SW Superior = 3200 Ma
- 2. Opatica = 3100 Ma
- 3. Abitibi-Wawa = ca. 2900 Ma
- Ca. 5 ɛHf unit range

#### Is contamination viable?

- DM magmas with 5-20% of Opatica crust can explain Abitibi compositions
- Ca. 5-10% for SW Superior crust
- Mesoarchean component to the Abitibi?

![](_page_18_Figure_10.jpeg)

at the HAROUAIL School of Earth Sciences

### Spatial data: Hf isotopes

#### Lu-Hf isotopic mapping:

- Central region of more juvenile, younger crust
- Surrounded by slightly older crust
- δ<sup>18</sup>O data are more "light" in the most juvenile regions

#### Time-slices:

- Syn-volcanic
  - Juvenile central Abitibi
- Post-volcanic
  - Overall, more evolved signatures

![](_page_19_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### Mole et al. (2021) Precambrian Research

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_15.jpeg)

### SE Superior dataset: O-isotopes

- Four major components:
  - 1. 2800-2825 Ma: Mantle  $\delta^{18}$ O
  - 2. Ca. 2750-2695 Ma: Small heavy component, light component increases over time
- 3. 2695-2660 Ma: Heavy component increases
- 4. <2650 Ma: Heavy component only
- Major transition at 2695 Ma:
- Increase in  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  correlates with other data
- Increase in sedimentary component
- Decrease in mantle component

![](_page_20_Figure_10.jpeg)

### Spatial data: O-isotopes

- O-isotopic mapping:
  - Central area of light to mantle-like  $\delta^{18} O$
  - Regions to east and west have relatively heavy  $\delta^{18} O$
  - Central area = greater hightemperature hydrothermal interactions?
  - $\delta^{18}$ O may map out areas with high heat-flow
- Time slices:
- Syn-volcanic
  - "Light" mantle signatures in central Abitibi, "heavy signatures toward edges
- Post-volcanic
  - Overall, "heavy" signatures

TAI EARTH

![](_page_21_Figure_11.jpeg)

CANADA

Canada

APOGÉE

#### Mole et al. (2021) Precambrian Research

![](_page_21_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### SE Superior dataset: Zircon trace elements

- Oxygen fugacity by  $\Delta$ FMQ:
  - Major increase at ca. 2695 Ma to more oxidised magmas
  - This correlates with data from EHf and  $\delta^{18}O$
  - Together, these observations ٠ suggest a major tectono-thermal transition at ca. 2695 Ma

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

EXCELLENC

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

Mineral Exploration Research Centre at the HAROUAIL School of Earth Sciences

### Spatial data: ΔFMQ

- ΔFMQ distribution:
  - Pattern broadly similar to that observed in  $\epsilon Hf$  and  $\delta^{18}O$
  - Reduced central region, more oxidised flanks
  - However, there is a noticeable higher and potentially important complexity

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Mole et al. (2021) Precambrian Research

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Geodynamic model: Pre-2750 Ma

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### A young Mesoarchean continent edge?

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Geodynamic model: ca. 2750-2695 Ma

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Geodynamic model: <2695 Ma

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

North-dipping arc initiates at ca. 2695 Ma

Mole et al. (2021) Precambrian Research

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

19

### Summary

- The changing nature of the Hf-isotope, ΔFMQ and δ<sup>18</sup>O data record the changing geodynamic setting in the south-east Superior Craton
- The spatial extent of these variables records the crustal architecture
- The south-east Superior can be characterised in four main stages:
  - 1. A young (Mesoarchean) continent edge at >2750 Ma;
  - 2. Hyper-extension at 2750-2695 Ma in a prolonged rifting event that formed the Abitibi;
  - 3. Initiation of subduction at ca. 2695 Ma; and
  - 4. Continental collision (with MRVT) at ca. 2685-2680 Ma
- If correct, these new data suggest that Neoarchean continental growth occurred via at least two distinct mechanisms

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### Metal Earth craton scale project: Part 2: Isotopic mapping of the southeastern Superior Craton, crustal architecture and mineral systems

### Lu-Hf isotopes: ɛHf

- Abitibi:
  - Magmatic event starts at 2750 Ma
  - Juvenile signatures
  - Reaches εHf>+7 at ca. 2695 before it starts to decrease
- Non-Abitibi:
  - Two sources?
  - Both juvenile and evolved signatures
  - Overall, rocks are more unradiogenic

![](_page_29_Figure_9.jpeg)

Mole et al. (2022) Ore Geology Review

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### O-isotopes: δ<sup>18</sup>Ο

- Abitibi:
  - 2850-2750 Ma: mantle-zircon signatures
  - 2750-2695 Ma: small «heavy» component, increasing «light» component
  - <2695 Ma: heavy component increases, same time as we see transition in Hf-isotopes
- Non-Abitibi:
  - 2800-2750 Ma: mantle signatures
  - 2750-2700 Ma: increasingly "heavy" component
  - <2700 Ma: mostly "heavy" values, range is larger than for the Abitibi

METAL EARTH

![](_page_30_Figure_9.jpeg)

Mole et al. (2022) Ore Geology Review

![](_page_30_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Zircon TE: Eu/Eu\*/Y\*10000

Hydration

- Abitibi:
  - 2750-2695 range 20-0.2 = hydrous magmas
  - >2695 Ma less hydrous component ceases
- Non-Abitibi:
  - 2750-2695 Ma similar to Abitibi
  - After 2670 Ma all >10 = wet or deep sources
  - KSZ values are high and source likely deep and dry = suggests a depth component

![](_page_31_Figure_9.jpeg)

Mole et al. (2022) Ore Geology Review

![](_page_31_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### Zircon TE ∆FMQ

#### Oxygen fugacity

#### • Abitibi:

- Major increase at ca. 2695 Ma to more oxidised magmas
- This correlates with data from  $\epsilon Hf$  and  $\delta^{18}O$
- Non-Abitibi:
  - Similar pattern, but not as wide of a range as Abitibi data

#### <u>Abitibi:</u>

#### 4 ΔFMQ Reference Reference data data 3. Å Δ 2 Δ 2730 2690 2710 2730 2690 2710 2750 Oxidised Oxidised Reduced Reduced $\Delta$ -2. Adakitic gran/vol ∆ Mid-ocean ridge S-Type arc granite Kimberlites -3-I-Type arc gran/vol 🛆 Lunar zircon 🛆 A-Type rhyolite △ Post-collisional 2600 2700 2900 3000 Age (Ma) 2700 2800 2900 3000 Age (Ma) 2800 2600

Mole et al. (2022) Ore Geology Review

![](_page_32_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_13.jpeg)

Non-Abitibi:

#### **Zircon TE** $U_i/Yb$ and $U_i/Nb$

#### **Tectonic setting**

#### Abitibi: •

- >2750 Ma the trace elements show signatures of a continental source
- 2750-2695 Ma the signatures are more mixed
- <2695 Ma there is a larger crustal</li> component

#### Non-Abitibi:

- Similar trends, but not many samples in the mixed and mantlearray field
- Exception for samples from the Kapuskasing which show the highest ratios and plot in the highgrade metamorphism/anatexis field

![](_page_33_Figure_9.jpeg)

### Mineral systems:

# Isotope- and geochemistry summary map

- Abitibi:
  - Very juvenile  $\epsilon Hf$  and low  $\delta^{18} \text{O},$  high  $\Delta FMQ$
  - Juvenile magmas and mantle-like
    crust
- Non-Abitibi:
  - Less juvenile  $\epsilon Hf$  and higher  $\delta^{18}O$
  - Less juvenile magmas and more evolved crust

METAL FARTH

![](_page_34_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

at the HAROUAIL School of Earth Sciences

# Mineral system: VMS

#### 2750-2695 Ma

- Three volcanic assemblages:
  - 1. Mixed  $\varepsilon$ Hf and  $\delta^{18}$ O, lower heat flow= Zn-Pb VMS
  - 2.  $\epsilon$ Hf is high,  $\delta^{18}$ O relatively low,high heat flow zone= Cu-Au VMS
  - 3. Very high  $\epsilon$ Hf and low  $\delta^{18}$ O, high heatflow= Au-rich VMS

#### ca. 2750-2695 Ma: Rift-dominated tectonism VMS Cu-Au-Pb-Zn and komatiite-hosted Ni-Cu-PGE systems dominate

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Mineral system: Ni-Cu-PGE

2750-2695 Ma

- Abitibi is relatively poorly-endowed in Ni-Cu-PGE
  - Komatiite associated •
  - Number of deposits increase with • time
  - Localization tied to crustal • architecture
  - Very high εHf

#### ca. 2750-2695 Ma: Rift-dominated tectonism VMS Cu-Au-Pb-Zn and komatiite-hosted Ni-Cu-PGE systems dominate

![](_page_36_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Mineral system: Gold (non-VMS)

<2695 Ma

- Follow regionally extensive easttrending fault zones
  - Gold systems
    - Elevated  $\delta^{18}$ O, high • Eu/Eu\*/Y\*10000 and  $\Delta$ FMQ= hydrous and oxidised magmas

#### ca. 2695-2640 Ma: Subduction and orogenesis **Orogenic gold systems dominate** E. WABIGOON Detour Fenelon 9 A' **OPATICA** LEGEND QUETICO Crogenic gold Fluids migrating from through/from NVZ Greenstone belt - high to magmatic rocks WAWA ABITIBI low-grade metamorphism) PDF Fluid from devdration Windfall Lal Alkaline intrusions of mafic rocks KL SVZ NOP CLLF Deformed sanukitoid Major compressional structure **PONTIAC?** Sediment deposition **OPATICA?** Melt fluxed from slab MRVT Cryptic N-S Syn-tectonic/ MRVT Ad Post-tectonic granites Fluid fluxed from slab crustal margin Porcupine and Timiskaming NVZ sediment deposition А SVZ Semi-emergen setting Old rift architecture: 1. Metamorphic fluid (and gold) release - fluids localised into major Felsic crust structures: 2. Thicker Lower crust volcanic sequences in Mantle/lithosphere Lithosphere Intrusions: / the SVZ and ping structures? NVZ rifts 1. Sanukitoids formed in arc provide greater phase may provide gold source of gold sources and fluids 2. Syn-orogenic alkaline 'Thin-zones' inherited 3. Inversion of instrusions provide heat, fluid from rifting? rift structures Sources: provides a fault 1. >2704-2695 Ma Syn-rift 'drip' Ambient mantle network for fluid/melt sources: fluid and 2. <2704-2695 Ma arc-related Oxidised melts and fluids metasomatise the lithosphere magma fluid/melt sources melts enter the upper crust movement Both sources tapped during Subduction period at <2695 Ma orogenesis . 2695-2685 Ma metasomatises overlying lithosphere Slab break-off at Non-optimal distance NOT TO SCALE ca. 2685 Ma? from trench Mole et al. (2022) Ore Geology Review CANADA APOGÉE Canada FIRST CANADA RESEARCH FONDS

D'EXCELLENCE

EXCELLENCE

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

Mineral Exploration Research Centre at the HAROUAIL School of Earth Sciences

### Summary

#### >2750-2695 Ma

- A rift-dominated tectonic setting
- VMS and Ni-Cu-PGE systems are showing variable Hf- and O-isotopes, but  $\epsilon$ Hf signatures are relatively high and  $\delta^{18}$ O are low
- Syn-volcanic mineral systems, VMS and Ni-Cu-PGE deposits are localised within a complex and evolving rift architecture

#### <2695 Ma

- Major shift observed in multiple geochemical and isotopic parameters
- Orogenesis and subduction-dominated tectonic setting
- Gold systems may be driven by the more hydrous, oxidised source zones present at this time
- However, localisation appears strongly influenced by syn-volcanic architecture

![](_page_38_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Thank you. Questions?

Contact: knymoen@laurentian.ca

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)