Gravity and Magnetics and physical properties

Richard Smith, Esmaeil Eshaghi, Amir Maleki, Will McNeice, Fabiano Justina



A new Canadian research initiative funded by Canada First Research Excellence Fund.







Laurentian University Universite Laurentienne



Magnetic data (compiled, levelled, interpreted)

Western Superior

Eastern Superior





Petrophysical measurements



-50°N Density will be used to constrain inversions

- >43000 density measurements were compiled from various sources (e.g. ME, GSC, OGS, MGS, Footprint).
 - >15000 were from Abitibi
- Few in Chibougamau



Petrophysical measurements



Mag susceptibility will be used to constrain inversions

- ~36000 mag sus) were compiled from various sources (e.g. ME, GSC, OGS, MGS, Footprint).
 - >13500 were from Abitibi
- Two sets of datasets are compiled (Abitibi and Wabigoon subprovinces)
- Petrophysical data are systematically characterised by mapped lithology.



Density of each major lithological unit

 Density characterisation allows assigning representative density properties to each major lithological unit for gravity data modelling



Boxplot analysis of density values of major lithological units within Abitibi Greenstone Belt



Susceptibility of each major lithological unit

 Density characterisation allows assigning representative density properties for gravity data modelling



Boxplot analysis of density values of major lithological units within Abitibi Greenstone Belt



Ultramafic intrusives

Density

Breakdown of major rock
types into sub-classes



All ultramafic intrusives

Density measurements of ultramafic intrusive rocks and major lithological units of this hierarchy in the Abitibi Greenstone Belt. The left column displays histograms of the values and the right column shows the quartile-quartile (QQ) plots.



Ultramafic intrusives

Magnetic susceptibility

Breakdown of major rock
types into sub-classes



Magnetic susceptibility measurements of ultramafic intrusive rocks and major lithological units of this hierarchy in the Abitibi Greenstone Belt shown on a \log_{10} scale. The left column displays histograms of the values (× 10⁻³ SI) and the right column shows the quartile-quartile (QQ) plots.



Physical properties measurements

Uses

- Geophysicists: Characterizing rock properties for modelling
- Geologists: Identifying anomalous locations
 - Why is property different from elsewhere
 - Alteration (clays or magnetite destroyed or created)
 - Vein density (Byrne et al., 2019)
 - Metamorphic grade changes
 - Deformation (magnetite fractures into single domains)
 - Different magma source



How accurate are mag susc for geophysical modelling



susceptibility of the study area

derived from the RTP map.

METAL EARTH

McNeice

taken on-average ten magnetic susceptibility measurements on one outcrop using a KT-10 magnetic susceptibility meter.

 Results should agree and points lie along red dashed line





- Results should agree and points lie along red dashed line
- In areas of high mag susc, remanent magnetization will increase (or decrease) the apparent susceptibility estimate





- Results should agree and points lie along red dashed line
- In areas of low mag susc, nearby anomalies will increase (or decrease) the apparent susceptibility estimate





- Results should agree and points lie along red dashed line
- Other reasons for variability
 - Transcription errors
 - Operator error
 - Weathering
 - Geometric effects
 - Poor technique
- Conclusion:
 - Mag susceptibilities that are required to explain magnetic data can vary by up to a factor of 10 from outcrop measured value
 - Outcrop values can be varied by a factor of ten to explain the magnetic data.





Gravity data collection

2974 stations (June 2017-August 2018)





Gravity data reduction and compilation

- Geosoft oasis montaj used for processing
 - Free-air
 - Bouguer slab
 - Terrain correction
 - Yields "complete Bouguer anomaly"
- Existing GSC gravity data compiled, reprocessed, QCQA and combined with ME gravity data.





Chibougamau transect

Previous geological work





74"30'0"V

Geological map of the Chibougamau area, showing the distribution of the folds produced during the D2 regional deformation. The location of two detailed cross-sections (A5 and C5; see Fig. 2) is shown with thick straight black (modified from Montsion et al. 2017, Daigneault et al. 1990, Leclerc 2008)



Detailed cross-section of the Chibougamau area. See Fig.1 for abbreviations and the location of the cross sections (after Daigneault et al. 1990).

Geophysical Setting

- Qualitative interpretation of the airborne magnetic data



Total magnetic intensity map

Combination of 2nd vertical derivative and tilt angle images The magnetic interpretation map

Different from geological map

Geophysical Setting

The gravity data

Qualitative interpretation of the seismic data



Complete Bouguer anomaly map of combined ME and GSC gravity data

2.5-D potential-field data modelling (constraints on the 2.5-D model)

Geological Constraints





15 Dyko de diabase 14 Piuton d'Opérnisca (granodiorile) 13 Piuton du lac Rush 12 Piuton de Presqu'île (icnaîliz)

11 Pluton de Chibougamau (tonalite et diorile)
10 Intrusiona du las Springer (gabbro, leucogabbro et pyroxénite

GROUPE D'OPÉMISCA

9 Formation de Haüy (lave andésitique et sécliment

Formation de Stella (conglomărat, gràs, argilite) et Formation de Daubrée (grauwacke, argilite, altatone

7a Filon-couche de Roberge (dunite, péridolite et ovroxénite)

7b Filon-couche de Ventures (pyroxérite et gabbro)

7e Filon-couche de Bourbeau (pyroxánite el leucogabbro) 6 Complexe du lac Doré (granophyre, anorthosite el gabbro

GROUPE DE ROY

5 Formation de Bordeleau (volcano-clastito feisique)

4 Formation de Blondeau (volcano-clasites intermédiairos à leisiques

Formation do Gilman (baselle, andéalte et gabbro comagmatique)
Formation de Waconichi (rhyolite et pyroclastite felsiques)

Formation d'Obatogamau (leve malique porphyrique)

1 1a Membre de Chrissie (pyroclastite felsique)





(Daigneault et al. 1990)

Lithologie	N	Densité (g/cm ³)		$(\times 10^{-3} \text{ SI})$
		Intervalle	Moyenne \pm écart type	intervalle
Pluton d'Opémisca				
Monzonite	6	2,62 - 2,64	$2,63\pm0,008$	0,01-0,8; 2,4-4,3
Pluton de Presqu'île				
Tonalite	3	2,74 - 2,82	$2,77\pm0,04$	0,01; 0,2
Pluton de Chibougamau				
Tonalite	12	2,60 - 2,73	$2,67 \pm 0,04$	0,01-2,3; 10-40
Diorite	21	2,73 - 3,06	$2,93\pm0,1$	0,01-2,3; 18-53
Groupe d'Opémisca				
Formation de Haüy	10	2,72 - 2,97	$2,87 \pm 0,07$	0,1-0,5; 1,4-3,4
Formation de Stella	4	2,71 - 2,76	$2,74 \pm 0,02$	0,1-0,5; 6,3-6,5
Formation de Daubrée	4	2,73 - 2,99	$2,88\pm0,11$	0,01; 0,6
Complexe de cummings				
Gabbro de Bourbeau	9	2,83 - 3,05	$2,97 \pm 0,07$	0,01-0,6; 3-8
Gabbro de Ventures	7	2,92 - 3,04	$2,99 \pm 0,05$	0,01-1,6; 12-45
Dunite	2	2,72 - 2,74	2,73	110; 190
Péridotite	6	2,66 - 2,79	$2,73 \pm 0,04$	72-130
Pyroxénite	5	3,12 - 3,27	$3,21\pm0,06$	0,8-8; 100
Complexe du lac Doré				
Anorthosite	2	2,99 - 3,00	2,99	0,01
Granophyre	1	2,61	2,61	0,2
Groupe de Roy				
Formation de Blondeau				
Sédiments-tufs	24	2,66 - 2,91	$2,79 \pm 0,06$	0,01; 1,2
Andésite	8	2,75 - 2,83	$2,79 \pm 0,04$	0,01; 1,4
Dyke de gabbro	6	2,85 - 2,98	$2,90 \pm 0,05$	17 - 100
Formation de Gilman				
Basalte	11	2,80 - 3,23	$2,99 \pm 0,13$	0,01-1,6; 5-11
Gabbro	5	2,90 - 3,12	$3,00 \pm 0,08$	0,01-1,4;6
Andésite	3	2,71 - 2,79	$2,76\pm0,04$	0,01; 0,4
Pyroclastite felsique	3	2,64 - 2,74	$2,69 \pm 0,05$	0,01; 0,1
Formation de Waconichi				
Rhyolite	2	2,63 - 2,66	2,65	0,01; 0,1
Formation d'Obatogamau				
Basalte	27	2,73 - 3,10	$2,95\pm0,12$	0,01; 1,2
Gabbro	4	2,82 - 3,05	$2,99 \pm 0,11$	0,01; 1,4
Andésite	1	2,65	2,65	0,05
Membre de Chrissie	2	2,68 - 2,74	2,71	0,01; 0,5
Gneiss tonalitique (socle)	22	2,56 - 2,77	$2,67 \pm 0,05$	

Petrophysical properties from previous studies (Dion et al. 1991)

Geological sections from previous geological and geophysical studies (Dion et

Maleki

Susceptibilité

Petrophysical properties

2.5-D potential-field data modelling (constraints on the 2.5-D model)



Magneto-stratigraphic map



Maleki

2.5-D potential-field data modelling



The location of the modelled sections on TMI map

Preliminary interpretation of South profile



Preliminary interpretation of Csouth profile



2.5-D potential-field data modelling



Conclusions

Tasks

- Magnetic data compilation and processing done 100%
- Physical properties acquisition in progress 80%
- Physical properties compilation in progress 80%
- Gravity data acquisition in progress 80%
- Gravity and magnetic constrained 2D modelling in progress 8%
- Gravity and magnetic constrained 3D modelling to start 0%



MERC Foundation Members



NAXX N





Ŧ

LaurentianUniversity UniversitéLaurentienne

HAROUAIL SCHOOL OF FARTH SCIENCES ÉCOLE DES SCIENCES DE LA TERRE



METAL EARTH



Metal Earth Partners



Thank you.

Stay up to date via the MERC Newsletter Subscribe online by visiting:

Merc.laurentian.ca

Contact us with questions: merc@laurentian.ca Connect with us on LinkedIn, Facebook, Twitter



A new Canadian research initiative funded by Canada First Research Excellence Fund.





LaurentianUniversity UniversitéLaurentienne

HARQUAIL SCHOOL OF EARTH SCIENCES ÉCOLE DES SCIENCES DE LA TERR

